

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/851,274 | 05/08/2001 | Hiroshi Kubota - | 5576-125 3436 | |
| 20792 | 7590 09/06/2002 | | | |
| MYERS BIG | EL SIBLEY & SAJO | EXAMINER | | |
| PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627 | | | LEE, SIN J | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1752 | 5 |
| · | | | DATE MAILED: 09/06/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No. | | | 165 | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Examiner | | Application No. | | | | | |
| Sin J Lee Sin | Offic Action Summan | 09/851,274 | KUBOTA ET AL. | | | | |
| The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be availation under the proximosis of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a righty be timely filled to the proximate of the passed for reply aspecial above is less in an initing (30) days, an apply which the statutory reflow all apply and will expire St. (MONTH) from the mailing date of this communication. If the passed for reply aspecial above is less in annihity (30) days, an apply with the statutory reflowed apply and will expire St. (MONTH) from the mailing date of this communication. If the passed for reply aspecial above is less in annihity (30) days, will be considered timely. If the passed for reply aspecial above is less in annihity (30) days, will be considered timely. If the passed for reply aspecial above is less in annihity (30) days and the passed and the passed and the passed apply and will expire the passed and the passed apply and will expire the passed and the | Offic Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for epity specified allower, the malarimum additions profit of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of risk communication. If the period for epity specified allower, the malarimum additions profit of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for epity specified allower, the malarimum additions profit of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for epity specified allower, the malarimum additions profit of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fixed, may reduce any examined palarim term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) | The MAII INC DATE of this communication and | | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be willder worder the provision of 3 CFR. 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTRS from the mailing date of nic communication. It NO period to reply sependial worder the provision of 3 CFR. 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTRS from the mailing date of nic communication. If NO period to reply sependial words were the mailing date of nic communication. If NO period to reply september down, the mailing date of nic communication. Failure to reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by statutory priority that page part them along date of nic communication. Any tray included by the Office districts the mailing date of nic communication. Any tray included by the Office bit that the three mornish after the remaining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any section is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Clalms 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 9-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are enjected. Claim(s) 1-4 and 9-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1-4 and 9-16 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) 1-4 and 9-16 i | Period for Reply | ears on the cover sneet with the (| correspondence address | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sitton of Claims 4) Claim(s) | THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | mely filed ys will be considered timely. t the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 9-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u> | <u>fay 2001</u> . | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims 1-4 and 9-16 is/are pending in the application. | 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Aktachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E Disp sition of Claims | nce except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) ☐ Notice of Beferences Cited (PTO-152) | - | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 9-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTC-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | n from consideration. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 9-16</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some collar collar for eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 11 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | election requirement. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 51 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 50 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) | | | Wed by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a | a)-(d) or (f) | | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | p a | ,, (4) 51 (1). | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | have been received. | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | · | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | Attachment(s) | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. | 5) Notice of Informal | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 5-8 were canceled by applicants in their preliminary amendment.

- 2. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicants need to insert --- and --- right before "polyoxyalkylene laurylates". Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. It is to be noted that present claims 3 and 4 were interpreted by the Examiner to be claiming a chemically amplified resist material *which is capable of being exposed* to high energy radiation of 500nm or less, x ray or electron beam.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made

Application/Control Number: 09/851,274 Page 3

Art Unit: 1752

to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-4 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kawabe et al (6,159,656).

Kawabe teaches a chemical amplification type positive resist composition suitable for exposure to far UV rays (220 nm or shorter wavelength) which comprises at least one of a fluorine type surfactant and a silicone type surfactant (in Kawabe's Examples 1 and 2, "Megafac F176" (a fluorine type surfactant) is used). See col.3, lines 37-65, col.45, lines 55-56, and Table 1 in col.49-50. Kawabe also teaches (col.44, lines 64-67) that a nonionic surfactant can be further added for the purpose of improving the applicability of each photosensitive resin composition of his invention or improving developability. Therefore, based on this teaching, one of ordinary skill in the art would immediately envisage adding a nonionic surfactant to Kawabe's resist composition in order to improve the applicability of the photoresist composition and improve developability. None of the examples which Kawabe lists in col.45, lines 1-7 for his nonionic surfactant includes any fluorine substituent or a silicon-containing substituent.

Therefore, the prior art teaches present inventions of claims 1 and 3.

With respect to present claim 2, Kawabe teaches (col.45, lines 1-7) only eight examples to choose from for his nonionic surfactant. Among those eight, polyoxyethylene lauryl ether and polyoxyethylene steary ether are presently claimed *polyoxyalkylene alkyl ethers*, and polyoxyethylene otylphenyl ether and polyoxyethylene nonylphenyl ether are presently claimed *polyoxyalkylene aralkyl ethers*. Since there are only eight examples to choose from, it is the

Art Unit: 1752

Examiner's position that one of ordinary skill in the art would immediately envisage using any one of polyoxyethylene lauryl ether, polyoxyethylene steary ether, polyoxyethylene otylphenyl ether, and polyoxyethylene nonylphenyl ether as Kawabe's nonionic surfactant. Therefore, the prior art teaches present inventions of claims 2 and 4.

Kawabe teaches (col.45, lines 51-58) that his photosensitive resin composition is applied on a substrate, and the coating film is subjected to pre-bake and then exposed to an exposure light having a wavelength of 220 nm or shorter through a given mask. The exposed film is subjected to post-exposure bake and then developed to obtain a satisfactory resist pattern.

Therefore, Kawabe teaches present inventions of claims 13-16.

7. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawabe et al (6,159,656) (with Chen et al (6,174,661 B1) which is cited here to support the Examiner's position that "Florad FC430" and "FC431" are fluorinated alkyl esters).

With respect to present claim 9, Kawabe uses Megafac F176 (fluorine type surfactant) in his Examples 1 and 2, and the prior art teaches equivalence of this surfactant to Florad FC430 and FC431 in col.43, lines 46-56. Since the prior art teaches equivalence of these compounds, it is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Florad FC430 or FC431 in place of the Megafac F176 in Kawabe's Examples 1 and 2 with a reasonable expectation of achieving a positive photosensitive resin composition which shows excellent performances with respect to the residual film ratio, resist profile, resolution, and dryetching resistance. Florad FC430 and FC431 are fluorinated alkyl esters as evidenced by Chen et

al, col.7, lines 22-23. Therefore, Kawabe's teaching would render obvious present invention of claim 9.

With respect to present claim 10, Kawabe teaches that his fluorine type and/or silicon type surfactant is present preferably from 0.01 to 1 part by weight per 100 parts by weight of the composition of his invention (0.01-1 wt%). Since present range of 10 to 2,000 ppm converts to 0.001-0.2%, the prior art's range overlaps with present range and thus would render the present range prima facie obvious. In the case "where the [claimed] ranges overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art," a prima facie case of obviousness would exist which may be overcome by a showing of unexpected results, <u>In re Wertheim</u>, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPO 90 (CCPA 1976). Therefore, the prior art's teaching would render obvious present invention of claim 10.

With respect to present claims 11 and 12, Kawabe does not explicitly teach the weight ratio of the non-ionic surfactant to the fluorine surfactant (although the prior art teaches the amount for the fluorine surfactant). However, since Kawabe teaches that the nonionic surfactant is being added for the purpose of improving the applicability of the photoresist composition and improving developability, it is the Examiner's position that the present range for the weight ratio would have been obvious to one skilled in the art since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Therefore, Kawabe's teaching would render obvious present inventions of claims 11 and 12.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,274

Art Unit: 1752

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Sin J. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 305-0504. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am EST to 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ms. Janet Baxter, can be reached on (703) 308-2303. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9311 for after final

responses or (703) 872-9310 for before final responses.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0661.

S.J.L.

S. Lee

September 4, 2002

JANET BAXTER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700**

Page 6